



UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA



# Open science from the editor's perspective

**Davide Crepaldi**

**University of Pavia**

**(Italian Reproducibility Network)**

**AIP Sperimentale 2024, Noto**

# The journals

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks
  - Associate Editor

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks
  - Associate Editor
- British Journal of Psychology



# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks
  - Associate Editor
- British Journal of Psychology
  - The British Psychological Society (UK and Europe)

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks
  - Associate Editor
- British Journal of Psychology
  - The British Psychological Society (UK and Europe)
  - Long papers

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks
  - Associate Editor
- British Journal of Psychology
  - The British Psychological Society (UK and Europe)
  - Long papers
  - ~1 paper/2 months

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks
  - Associate Editor
- British Journal of Psychology
  - The British Psychological Society (UK and Europe)
  - Long papers
  - ~1 paper/2 months
  - Associate (but EiC for 10 months)

# The journals

- Psychonomic Bulletin and Review
  - The Psychonomic Society (US)
  - Short papers
  - ~1 paper/1.5 weeks
  - Associate Editor
- British Journal of Psychology
  - The British Psychological Society (UK and Europe)
  - Long papers
  - ~1 paper/2 months
  - Associate (but EiC for 10 months)
- Plus, some insider information about Journal of Cognition via ESCoP :)

**Good OS input? Which kind of OS?**

# Good OS input? Which kind of OS?

- Essentially everyone has code and data freely available — yet, essentially no reviewer uses it

# Good OS input? Which kind of OS?

- Essentially everyone has code and data freely available — yet, essentially no reviewer uses it
- No Registered Report at PBR thus far (and one at BJP in the last year or so)



# Good OS input? Which kind of OS?

- Essentially everyone has code and data freely available — yet, essentially no reviewer uses it
- No Registered Report at PBR thus far (and one at BJP in the last year or so)
- Also, not many pre-registrations (and not much evidence that reviewers value this particularly)

# Multi-author paper

# Multi-author paper

- “The paper hopes to harmonize terminology, which is certainly a noble goal. But they also imply that this is how the field should be talking and thinking about semantic memory going forward and I’m not so sure that’s such a great idea”

# Multi-author paper

- “The paper hopes to harmonize terminology, which is certainly a noble goal. But they also imply that this is how the field should be talking and thinking about semantic memory going forward and I’m not so sure that’s such a great idea”
- “Many of the definitions were not consensual among the authors and they list who had a counterargument and what the counterargument was. In many cases, the counterarguments are strong in my opinion (and in the opinion of the reviewers), and pushing those opinions on the edge does not seem right”

# Multi-author paper

- “The paper hopes to harmonize terminology, which is certainly a noble goal. But they also imply that this is how the field should be talking and thinking about semantic memory going forward and I’m not so sure that’s such a great idea”
- “Many of the definitions were not consensual among the authors and they list who had a counterargument and what the counterargument was. In many cases, the counterarguments are strong in my opinion (and in the opinion of the reviewers), and pushing those opinions on the edge does not seem right”
- “It is also not clear how the current authors were selected in the first place. It’s clear that they were looking somewhat broadly including some people with alternative opinions, but that does not guarantee a representative selection and there is still a bit of an “old boys club” vibe to the whole thing.”

# Registered Report...

- ...which promised a given N, but then had to reduce it drastically because the survey was disseminated also via social media and got heavily botted. Something in the order of pre-registered N=1400, actual N~600.

# Must check

- Italian Reproducibility Network (yearly meeting in Milan, next Feb)
- The Coalition for Advancing Research Assessment (CoARA)
- Journal of Cognition



UNIVERSITÀ DI PAVIA



# Open science from the editor's perspective

**Davide Crepaldi**

**University of Pavia**

**(Italian Reproducibility Network)**

**AIP Sperimentale 2024, Noto**